

- there is
plan X-V R - less, afterward, to deny, forget
- less legitimization of evil, violent men, violence
us. Turing
(and legit. seems when an evil is
committed of a lesser evil - the latter
goes legit., appears still less evil, even
good, or do men who do it...
(man man) - less legit. of slavery, elites, hierarchy, lies...

Does to imperialism a stage of capitalism?

- Does cap. \rightarrow imp.? (yes) (i.e., without counter effort)
- Does X, Y attention to cap. also \rightarrow imp.?

- would ending cap. stop imp.?

does this depend on how cap. is changed
& what it changes to?

- are there ^{practical} ways of ending imp. without changing cap.?
- are there practical effects of the ending cap.?

Robinson Crusoe econ - even analyzing Thoreau...

(as if only with one other: Friday. Or even simply
choosing, an act of selection, preference.)

There is always, i.e. always, an audience - or, way...

Approach...
To track with X instead of Y (Africa, coastal vs. interior)...-

(Prosecutor in Kenyatta trial)

"European colon. powers belished slavery."

- By introduced & maintained mass
slavery."

(Ealing, under East af. slave trade -
which Europeans didn't end, till...

little slavery on West, ..."

Econ "trade" usually affects third
parties. e.g. Z may be a whole society:
buying X from X instead of Y from Y may mean
death of Y as org. - destruction of authority,
community, security - religion

~~Organ~~
self-sustaining system depends on system of
flow - if one link is interrupted...

Imperial system depends on the health of each
of a number of components, the subordination or weakness
of others. A war may be necessary (or expedient)
to maintain one or more of these elements or relationships
(even at some temporary cost to the "main objective".

and — even my be only expedient, not
critical. Willing to drop TMT doesn't
know leaders were "desperate," or
survival of capitalism or imperialism depended
on war or success. Costs are not that
great (unless bad luck; or, to a few agents,
like Ores, Belin — which, then, does act
desperately — perhaps a useful, functional
stimulus to the behavior, from point of
view of "powerful forces," which are not
much affected by a given loss — nor by
continuation of a war).

Shirige

leads to "dev" - admin?

- key industry goal? urbanisation?
- ag. dev ignored centralisation?
- (Policy: East Europe socialism as model?!)
- Political forms / freedoms, i.e. Tanzania: ^{Politic, ...} TANU,
China
North Korea
Cuba
other
- (Alls follow path
from archaic forms
on "production", "dev", indust.,
commodities... prod. process...
and demands of new process vs.
aspects of "socialism".
Should / not new show the desired
characteristics of the new / soc state?
- Why doesn't national bourgeoisie
industrialize? (India) (LA)
- focus only on process of producing commod. ^(owning / controlling)
not on process of governing, "protecting" (Any)
(or religion, art, communication, education, ...)
- (Hence, paradox of "bureaucratic class" (as in SO:
(replace term: bourgeoisie? "Bourgeoisie"
prod. "Bourgeoisie")

not: imper., neo-col, monop. capital...

Shizue

36 — "problem of bureaucracy [in "soc" states] is one of solving non-antagonistic contradictions." !

(since bureaucrats have no base in int. bourgeois class.)

"Terror" ... cannot be solved by appearance in society ... It can be resolved only by the growth of national wealth ... " (Ha!) (Dutcher)

Issue: Are "capitalists" suff. to be replaced by bureaucrats, police, military cadre — in an unbalanced, industrial, militarized, propagandized police state?

to "way"

"age-old division ... between the organized and the organized ..." (Dutcher) [in "highly-organized state!"]

Dutcher + Shizue are nearly-orthodox on the problem

(explaining low economy \neq mech/industrial)

"Solutions": increased wealth } Disturb
increased educ

"Knowledge of bureaucracy
permeation..."

Rooting: function
of party members

Vagrant party/workers control } Shining
Industrialization }
Mechanization }
(but - replace it with...?)

"social base"

"Class base" must be rooted in
productive process ?! otherwise,
weak... ?!

37 a "rev" must change
productive relations - hence,
Cultural Rev was not a "rev"
or a

"antagonistic contradictions [only] with
respect to property relations..."
[Econ, status, police power, ...
gov. policy...]

Shier

- 7
- solution of: - capital problems
- oppression

Continued relations of soc. state
to cap. world.

- Class basis, and controls, on
"vanguard party."

"Bureaucratic socialism is the workers'
and not the bourgeoisie business."
(this: Dantach)

But for workers, need "vanguard
party" (Shinjee) ?!

- Paradox: { class struggle, and; dynamics
(critical role of (non-worker
non-proletariat)

ROLE OF "INFO"/CONCEPTS "vanguard" exist.

- class "treason"
- dynamics of ideas, ideals, ideology (13 choices)
- possible tyranny of "ideologists" (bureaucratic)
Party, ind. ...

Pacificists: New analyses needed of

WWII

Korea

(VN) - VC

Violence also,

Palestine

South Africa

LA

Ireland

(New War)
Ecology

and class issues.

See knowledge and human interests
fürgen Holmas Bracon

Jaraki - China us., sy, NIK India
NVN
Cuba
Tang.

- cover for US

- If Rand. becomes too focused on
means, neglects some major ends
(and internal goals...)

Does not "Mapin" become over-
preoccupied with "ends" in?
(some!)

- My bowl? PP? VN War?
Wc war.

- ~~Other~~ Violence: VC
Panthers
Lys; India
Mam Man
Palestine?

(Pacifists: Critics, appreciation, of violence
V. need: Hegel, Quinlan, Tuck (on Hegel?))
Real Azala/Mozambique?

Fanon

Om Nöcker

(2)

To be "serious", one must consider carefully what one desires and desires to avoid, what are the intermediate aims, the tests of success...

and one must look coldly and realistically at whether certain as-yet-untried means are really likely to achieve that set of aims; whether they are truly relevant, let alone "necessary," whether they contribute to success, move things forward, or divert or even block ^{progress} ~~success~~.

So the aim to "be like the settler"?

Is it, really (i.e. after reflection) to "replace the settler"? Really (i.e. M.D.) that what the settler "is" and "sees, knows, feels" is conditional (M.D. determined) by where he is, in class, history, possessions, social/econ role.

Or is it — to cease to be a
slave, an object, an animal —
to be human — to create a
new ~~human~~^{human being}, not an new settler,
another settler?

Is not the settler, too, "less than
human" — responding to his role in conflict,
his focus on his possessions & his absolute
power, his fear of losing these, as defining
his existence? Is this ~~all~~ what the
native wants, is it all he should
want — even if he would prefer
it to being a victim — to join the
executions, the oppressors, "those possessed
by their possessions," the ^{masters,} slaves and
hangers-on?

If the aim is to "be a man" (not a
human), where manhood is roughly

defined by the settler's will to dominate and willingness to use violence — the violence can wait, and is the appropriate means.

Otherwise, it is not "necessary" because it precludes

[Even here, it must be effective

violence — not just random, expressive, isolated, symbolic, transient, random, unorganized — jacqueries, acts of present rage. These have occurred in part

without any of the effects or consciousness, being, history, that F² describes; they are not what he is talking about. His

benefits derive ~~from~~ not just from "violence" ~~not from but from~~ "mass, organized, persistent, coherent violence, with widespread participation in decision-making and in

execution" — but represented (exp. in latter characteristic) of guerrilla warfare: not of terrorism — unless part of, or stimulus, mass revolt — assass., coups, or internal war.

F² presents all the arguments needed to oppose a course of violence — as he describes the limitations on the humanity of both the nation and the settler, and the overriding goal of surmounting all of these, of becoming human.

[He writes for nations — he ignores settler's needs — "to become more than a horsewhip, a pistol... a possessor, a dominator" — does he think it impossible for settler to change? But pleas not want to change; resisting nations can create conditions for this.]

Half a billion settlers & metropolises are not a negligible fringe, to be ignored and swept away in the final reckoning (Marx's tiny capitalists class, in final stage).

But F² doesn't observe that the natives' initial — ignorant, instinctive, angry — aim "to kill the settler — to replace the settler, when he sits, when he sleeps, in what he has & does and enjoys" is incompatible with the aim of becoming human in a way that the settler is not; nor that violence is a way of achieving the first (which, F² points out in later chapters, the mass of the moral pop. typically fails to achieve anyway, after "success" of the revolt in driving out the Europeans)

Lifton —

- How do his ^{of vito} analyses compare to those of other psychiatrists?
- Compare Fanon cases.
- Cases: children of officials.
- Officials; military; ...
- Other vito.
- VX case: refugees.
- Resisters, after prison.

On Force - "Violence"

7 Jan 73
Mt. Kenya

- [1. Undertake NVR (only) when
willing + determined to resist "by any
means necessary - including violence,
depriving, killing" - when necessary, i.e.
(a) when other means will not work -
achieve the aim, "solve the problem."
(b) when these means will, or may, or
"can" work.

But when is (a) satisfied? How
long is it necessary to search for NV
means that can work? How stringent
are the tests of "can" for these alternatives?

A ^{supposedly} "stronger" state of resistance:
willingness to use violence, (if it can
work", not "only if it alone can work."
And it is ^{believed} understood that violence can
always work - on sufficient scale?
but is this true - for the actual aim?

But F² doesn't observe that the natives' initial — ignorant, instinctive, angry — aim "to kill the settler — to replace the settler, where he sits, where he sleeps, in what he has & does and enjoys" is incompatible with the aim of becoming human in a way that the settler is not; nor that violence is a way of achieving the first (which, F² points out in later chapters, the mass of the novel pop. typically fails to achieve anyway, after "success" of the revolt in driving out the Europeans).

The more important to policy
& events appear the intent &
personality of the leader (& his
subordinates), the more necessary
is it to examine the process
by which that leader gained
power, keeps it, loses it
— and why others fail to join
it. Who is influential in the process;
why; why not others; how would one know or ^{influence?} join

(They are not, after all, chosen by
national lottery; or in total
ignorance of the character; or
simply by birth).

1605, 17 Dec ⁷³ Dec

White-haired man, New Zealand,
as we listen to the rain on the
roof, at Quiter Huts, near Sutherland
Pools, says smiling, at this point in
my reflections: "You know, I haven't
had a day like this since the
Ang!" "like what?" "To lie
on a bunk, reading a book ...
a day with nothing to do."

Econ system works not
(only - or, so much) by "creating
"alternatives"

but by "destroying alternatives",
& (sometimes, by attacking the prospect
of "punishing human response/
intervention = coercion"); or simply,
by blocking, destroying, taking away...

2/ creating new needs, dependencies

→ ADDICTIONS

and (creation of) PUSHERS

I imagine a 'Marxism oriented not to
the issue of "Rev" but of
Radical Reform.']

(Fuchsian
Grand Tower)

(2) Hyp: Masses do not prefer War to
Peace (a reasonably just &
satisfying Peace; say ~~last~~^{even} one that
keeps you on toes long, provided too
little excitement, violence, fame,
hate, suspense": the usual models
of mass readiness for Spain -
American War, Boer War, American War,
WWI (not WWII) (or Korea), VN, (Cuba II?)

nor (b) do they prefer War/Crisis
to Reform: on the contrary.

but: (c) they can be fooled into
needing of war, crisis, existence of
Evil & Threat: (countries now seeing
them closer ...

(d) War, etc. does offer satisfaction,

expectations, that

— may be preferable to a "bad,
^{unfounded} unjust, Peace

— ~~distracts~~ this, is an alternative
to Reform, or Rev

— distracts from, lessens need for,
Reform; generates "national" case for
the ("temporary") necessity of
anti-Reform measures; so seems to
appear at least temporarily preferable
to Reform, but above Rev.

— all this is cumulative, escalating,
as violence increases — for a while,
& is above of messing casualties
& failure & shortages/frustrations.

(e) Even in prospect, War may be tacitly
more acceptable — masses may be more
sympathetic toward it — than Revolution,
or an arduous process of achieving Reform —
even when Present is "intolerable" & Rev is better.

So — if Status quo is so unjust & unsatisfying that the masses may rise even to the unpleasant, risky, uncertain process of Rev (easy Reform not being offered them; not one of their alternatives) War/Crisis can distract them; it can be easier for Rulers to persuade them of the "necessity" for War than for Rev leaders to persuade them of the necessity of Rev. ^{even} Aside from ~~afforded~~ ^{greater} power & oceans of Rulers, as persuaders; after all, Revs do have wants, injustices working for them).

- ^{mass} Preferences:
 relative ease of inducing mass support/acceptance of a state of ...:
- 1 Just (domestically) Peace
 - 2 Reform
 - 3 War/Crisis
 - 4 Rev/"class"
 - ? (5 Unstable, unjust, "bad Peace"

Difficulty of "selling" 4 may be seen as "inertness, stult" for "acceptance of status quo," 5; ease of selling 3 may appear as boredom with it, lack of interest in 2.

Actually, dissatisfaction with 5, desire for 1, may be strong that rulers fear that 4 will ensue, or will become preferable to 5: 2 being blocked by themselves: unless they "offer" 3 as an alternative to 4 ^{and} 5.

(Risk: if 3 is tried, and goes on ^{esp. with failure} too long, result may be 4)

(Russia after war I; China after war II).

Thus, the "frustration" to which War seems preferable to masses is not in a condition of surfeit, not burdened with Pleas & Pains, but in which social injustices, & ruling class intrusiveness, threaten Domestic War (or Rev change):

External War seems to masses, in effort, almost as good, or better, and to Rulers, infinitely better (though with some risks).

characteristic, values
of masses which Rulers
can manipulate, appeal
to, draw on...

{
claiming
national
racism
(section)

Why "prefer to see" ("can more easily
be shown") an external enemy than
a Ruling Class enemy.

(Or, an internal scapegoat,
a "domestic foreigner": Jews,
immigrants, anarchist/Bolsheviks, blacks,
bearded hippies... Cons... Easterners (Anglophobes))

In the case of the Jews, they may be
seen as betrayed the Ruling Class: money-
men, international, manipulators,
conspirators

Hyp: National allegiance, is very strong;
represents Ruling Class benefits
by close association with national
symbols: national power (Em
Empire, Initiative, Profit Motive;
manufacturing); Army (France); Queen
(England); President (US)

To question there is to oppose Nation,

& be unpatriotic.

Rustin-Bant: We Gains

Hyp: to pit oneself against Nationalism along with the
other (Bolsheviks) is to be against the common good

Fuehan

WG tail = Dryfus
(DEP)

(+ Panama?)

HN

= Hawaii, Cuba, Phils

30 Dec 73

Naishi

(Africa, yesterday & today)
moon & Dublin

- Central ideal of "boring fair" is "mutual benefit" = transaction between X and Y.
 - ignores incentives and capability of (esp. of much stronger party) to
 - destroy, or degrade the other's alternatives
 - create new "tasks" / quest / need (addiction)
 - threaten punishment (e.g. shut off vital supply)
 - capture other's flow ("decision-making apparatus").
 - (concoction, infiltration, coercion, cooption, invasion, subversion, assassination...)
 - run schools & churches (e.g. Catholics, Cops)
- while ignoring effect on "third-parties"
- and back onto one party, which is part of a previously self-sustaining system (consumer is also worker, relying for employment on local industry, for protection on local gov, local religion...)

European side of "Trade":

got slaves

gave firearms: beer, birdlime

up central zone, up river

+ whisky

+ cotton goods (destroying local handicrafts)

all of which \rightarrow more slaves

- Why Africans? Apparently, only ^{slaves} they could
be "induced" — by terror — into harvesting
sugar cane, or working the mines.

(Neither Europeans nor West Indians "could" —
i.e. would, unless transformed into slaves
who could be starved, whipped or killed
if they wouldn't work.

(Why were chiefs willing to sell slaves?

(a) "Our" chiefs — dependent on firearms, now...

(b) Selling other chiefs' people — kidnapping...
...?

^{French}
In colonies: rules & tariffs created
monopolies for French trade -

- In Kenya, to get labor for settlers' farms - tapes drove farmers to be laborers (for cash/cro substitution) - while forbidding to grow cash crops: coffee.

Ask: Why the move to colonies in 1880-1900? - Was this (not) just a phase

(And - why the success of the agitation to stop the slave trade?)

of imperialism: { as in VNZ after 1972?
US troops earlier.

Rule by people who regard the ruled as inferior sub-human, or irrational, (even as adults) less affected, initially, Women + Children. The Bible does support all forms of this: as Boles claims.

Problem of convincing the colonizers, and
the home pop., and foreign critics, of
the legit. (of foreign rule), & its benevolence:
failing with foreign critics, but succeeding
Africa, yesterday & today at home, when
the state controls info ...

[Note: even in "free society," note the
relative advantage of state-approved,
& Estab.-approved ideas McEwan
"Many talks ... manipulation of ideas"
but also State talks...]

Portugal, 166

Kildun 169

South Africa

Mandates, Trust Territories 136

Shan thell 118 (Africans as inferior)

Berlin Act 1878 124

Contract Labor

Belgium 172

Racist / superior ideas seem to gain
great force when needed to
rationalize a system of exploitation
— e.g. one that has suddenly become
profitable

(e.g. slave systems, after discovery
of West Indies; after sugar introduced ...
colonies, after Industrial Rev, + crises of 1860-80...

— What if women became equal?

Econ implications for men?

— Children?

— Blacks, etc.?

"Our" image of Indians is like
"our" image of 'wild' animals vs. humans
— based on their behavior when being
hunted.

(see Indians attacking wagons,
"scalping")

Rostow - "Communists are the
scavengers of the developing world"

- OK - if we are the lions,
tigers (or jackals, hyenas - cons
as lesser...) killing/wounding/sickening
~~to~~

[Hyp: humans aren't predators' meat. "

Unless wounded or hurt, animals
(except crocodiles) don't attack unprovoked.

What is the risk to a human in
wild-animal country, if he doesn't hunt,
and no other hunters around? What is
it comparable to?

Before photo safari, the only extended
relationship an "observer" had with an

animal - minutes, hours - was with
one he was hunting, or had wounded,
(see Heningway, buffalo in Green Hills)
or whose family he had destroyed.

(T.R. Heningway ...

N-V relations between man and major predators
are not hard to achieve [not a question of 'N-V state
The reasons man has of nature' - examining
[my]

for killing other men and
animals have an enormously greater
range than for any (?) other animal.

+ some humans more than others.

yes, women, blacks do kill ...
officers do take slaves ...
Indians do scalp other Indians
raising parties ...
sacrifices ...

But how many?! Scale!

Compare sacrifices after 1800 ("a bloodbath" -
to slave deaths before 1800. South American)

Africa, yesterday...

174

"Savages" like Masai, Zulus, won't accept "the discipline" of industrial ~~to~~ employment -

i. e. slave-like shedding
to "someone who lacks traditional authority" - for 8, 10, 14 hours a day [Marx: "most of the time, most of one's waking life."]

Marx: Look ~~hard~~ at human
relationships in urban-industrial
society... [belonging, among
"upper-middle class".]

Masai "will not work for wages, since
tending cattle is the only valued
occupation..." "The Zulus, considering
themselves a dominant warrior race, that
it degrading to accept the discipline of

industrial labor ... The Ties say
that of boys who are social and
who do not fit into the group are
ready to live away from home earning
wages; that is, boys who are essentially
maladjusted."

Dominance - Exploitation

In "bargain" between X and
Y, compare Y's outcome to:

— what Y would get (hypothetically)
if certain differences between X and
Y were removed

and/or — what Z would get from
X, given certain differences between
Y and Z ("discrimination")

where the differences, in some cases,
are not "~~just~~" "justly, properly"
related to differences in outcome.

(e.g. birth; race, sex, family relationship,
...)

(If unions don't drive up general
share of union labor - why not?

Is it that Large Corps + State + Law +
Media are so much stronger that
collective bargaining doesn't make much
difference?)

(But that these Allies aren't
called in, to minimize share of labor,
when labor doesn't organize. ?)

What Westerns want to perceive
as the "disturbing influence" of West

as

Christianity
education

teaching

opportunities : Trade, goods
jobs
roads

anti-slavery, sacrifice, civilization

see Africa, b. 276

Roozok, WWE When the Warbled Ends

- Politics of (X) :

Fear	xxicc
Hope	
Resentment	Alienation
Dispar	Joy (H ³)
West	Class-Conce.
Eternity	
Time	
Desire	
Eternity	
Consciousness	

X as variable or parameter of
consciousness/awareness, being/epistemic.
the "mindscape"

xix - "Urban-industrialism"

(Y)' must be regarded as
an experiment.

Y: US Coast
Bill of Rights
Capitalism
Industrialism
Science

in CW

Expe Gov

Determiner (original results)

Spinalism

* See how addiction to / dependence on
cars was created in America itself,
why did public transport wither
in late forties? (Rozgat, 27)

(with extinction of "pleasure driving")

- like enclosure movement.
- And cycles - driving farmers to cities.
- Green Rev / fertilizers

Car traffic in US as paradigm of
the "myth of Progress."

"coca - Colonization"

(coca - spend ...

transition from luxury to convenience
to necessity ... to curse.

"Reformers" who oppose "excess" & "crime"

but accept U-I (M-I) system

= those who dislike PMN but accept "Providing"

(Roszak, 29: § "the great paradox of the Technology
mystique, its remarkable ability to grow
strong by virtue of chronic failure."

Addictive Process:

creates prospect of short-run
pain to which it offers the only
short-run alleviation...

- Drinking in the morning to cure
hangover — "Sain of the dog"

- Crisis Politics + ^{strong} Parasitism

- Egyptian dependence on low technology
after failure in 6-day War

- VN War

- Hope "fails" to produce desired effect, on
repetition — but

- LBJ and Rostow/DOD, after 1965.

- Nixon's ^{increasing} reliance on ^{on Planners, after 1971.} WH gang, after 1973.

- Process fails, but with destructive alternatives,
or creates situation in which there seems to be
an attractive solution in short-run — while increasingly
focus on short-run.

Critique of Roszok

(5) Roszok ignores persistent elites, and the interest in expanding the process & world-view; inequality, oppression, war, not just as attractive problems but causally related to his.

(6) Why trace alienation to "science" alone?

What of: - trade, finance...

- "sun-religion": man as God;

central ruler as sun-god

- rise of cities (bearing "science")

- earliest urban-centralized states: Egypt, Mes., Rome...

Levinson

Kagan: "On the whole, right-wing dictatorships are less bad than left-wing dictatorships." (maybe May 3, 1971)

[Astounding statement, empirically;
and arguing — on what knowledge? reflection?
How much right for K/US to enforce
or support his/her views — or on "interests"
w/out gov of other country?

Add premise: Right-wing dictatorship
is the only alternative to left-wing
die. that USG can 1) install, and
2) reliably control, as a
3) fairly reliable obstacle to
left-wing gov (Com or not, die or not),
especially: (4) is short-run
(5) to avert S-R "threat," and
(6) facing ^{strong} left or Com challenge.
→ Spain, Brazil, Peru, Taiwan, CA, VN, etc

Econ Efficiency + Status quo

For economist to limit himself to changes that "make no one worse off" is to forego explanation of making the Haves,* the Bosses, worse off, i.e. "radical" changes and root "reforms."

(and moreover, to look the other way when nearly all the gains of "increased efficiency," in fact go to the Haves + Bosses.)

i.e. to be "indifferent" "whether" gains go to the Powerful or the Powerless. (and here, to refrain ^{even} from predicting how this will go — which doesn't call for an econ expert, in fact).

* (Yet there is not much scope for taking away from the Have-nots, given extreme inequality).

(Yet econ texts discuss this as if "Pareto Trades" (nations, classes) were equal in ^{power} & wealth).

TR Critique

- TR ignores / suppresses difference between "ideal technology" in which experts are in command, and actual arrangements in which rulers own & use technocrats. 35, 38

Emphasis suggests that this is the main failing of the societies in which "commissioners of capitalistic or bureaucratic" are dominant.

- Little on VN! Imperialism! Functions of Crises, Wars (Cycles?)
- "scientific objectivity" as the expression of alienation; how about, say, trade mentality.

Cap - soc

* concern by officials

* Courtesy in public offices

* hospitals; schools; high school, college

* old security: famine, sickness, old age
bicycle...

* participation in rational party, community, team, ^{cause}

° advancement in any, work, gov

°° rationalism

°° equality

(credit?

religion
party

privacy - no.

Housing - no

~~The~~ leisure

peace?

entertainment

* ignored by US pacifists

° admitted by Dyer, good guys

so

"

"

"

Buddha

Professions incompatible with
spiritual advance: poison peddler,
slave dealer, prostitute - etc

X's last death.

Legislation

Hoang Van Chi

"Buddhism + Marxism = Communism"
(Hing, org)

Hyp: Colonialism : Slavery ::

Neo-colonialism : colonialism

:: Capitalist relationships : feudal relationships

Colonialism justified itself by
supplanting slavery (Moorhead;
White Nile;
Chinese coolies)

[see replacement of slaves by
Chinese + Indian
contract labor, in US West,
Hawaii, Fiji ...

South Africans ...

just as US neo-colonialism

justified itself by supplanting colonialists
(Cuba, Puerto Rico, Philippines, VN) for "native
autocrats: Hawaiians ...)

vs. raising fair ideology

1. Unequal resources, at "start" —
as in table-stakes poker
2. Use by rich of courts, troops, police
to set terms of "bargain." { violence,
coercion,
authority
3. In particular, to prevent collective
bargaining, monop. by labor ^{small} suppliers.
4. Control of media, church, schools, ^{academics} ~~patronage~~
to favor compliance with system, e.g., rich...
and to affect/set "values," prefs, desires...
5. Creation of max. insecurity for masses,
suppliers of services. (to minimize
responsibility of state or others for mass
retirement, health, welfare...) (Means to
starvation, ^{in jail}...) One-crop colonies;
workers without tools; enclosure...
"Charity"; breakup of family, community; ^{destruction of kinship ties}
Physical dependence;

The Red and the Black

(Does Marx underestimate
role of Red, and ignore role of
Black in Capitalist Era?)
Imperialism + Religion

Catholic role in

- Crusades!

~~Cath.~~ - anti-Catholic politics
- Indochina

- Tahiti

- Dreyfus case (Tuchman)

- US in VN

- Must it not have been a factor

- US relations to Cuba
 Puerto Rico } Spain
 Philippines

[What of election of 1896?

Hawaii, machine / party politics?)

- HC Lodge!

(Real - Episcopalian ~~politics~~)

But - Mass. politics /
Harvard, anti-imperialism

- "Athena" of Marx has been on
Cath. politics, esp. in France

The Trial of Jose Kengatta - Montague Slater
London 1955

170 Somerhaugh: Right. Well, then, I am asking you this question again. Do you know as a matter of historical fact whether or not the English abolished slavery?

Kengatta: I will say that the English took part in abolishing slavery ... I say first: 1) Our land was taken away: we were subjected then to those early days of forced labor; 2) The wages which were given to our people is such that most of the time is a kind of serfdom. While formally a man could walk and feel like a man we were subjected to the humiliation of the colour bar, not everything. We were undrugged. So, in spite of the fact

all sorts of laws, some of which are most -
Sons: That must be terrible. Yes, go on. "K: Well, it is
terrible..."

that slavery was abolished, a new form
of slavery was introduced.

Prithi: Just a minute -

K: And I don't say that you have

... ¹⁷⁰ Sons: Go on, I am having one your life, go on.

K: All right. I hope you will not fall. (Laughs)

271 - County, I say when you have taken somebody's land,
leaving him with nothing, depending on a very low wage, subjected him to
... Sonbhang: And do you not agree

that the conditions for driving Europeans
out would be considerably improved if
Africans, ignorant Africans, could be
persuaded that it was the English
who made the slaves?

K. I do not think so, Sir, unless you are
anti-truth.

219 Sonbhang (Crown Counsel, Prosecutor,
summarization): ... "the ~~assertion~~ very
constant assertion that the Africans
had been robbed of this land ... and the
pointing fantastic allegations that

which have been made about slavery, and that sort of thing; that also is part of the grand propaganda machine to force on the simple African the idea that he is a victim suffering from insurmountable grievances which can be put right if a certain policy is followed."

Slater: 14 "To identify Kenya African Union with all its educational, religious and progressive policies with the dark, barbarous and Terrorist Mau Mau was a charge of a gravity that can hardly be overstated."

(Pamell & the Phoenix
Park murders). 1882

Basil Davidson

The Africans

- W Pass as (African as well as European) king

- Not purely war, but the necessity to impose domination of a (migrant) minority on a (settled) majority, [col., or neo-col., or ...] creates kingship as a means of achieving an effective unity among the whole minority, and of maintaining authority over the ruled majority.

Then, plural society, stratified; rule by a class, with king as ritual leader (who may gain power by force + fraud, and may need force to keep it, but also needs "legitimacy" to keep it : character, ritual, success...

[This, clearly, was important as
united, because it weakens usefulness
to the ruling class of the Presidency.]

[Hyp: War, war pop. (CW), ^{king}Presidency,
as necessary to the maintenance of
the domination of a ruling class;
as well as to the enrichment of
that class. Likewise, imperialism
(which promises econ. benefits to all,
but esp. ensures ruling class rule).

Hyp: This needed for: (a) unity of dominant min.

(b) cohesion of subordinate majority.

(Both must "believe" in myths of
Kingship — his moral, critical role, the
vital benefits he confers on society, by his
authority & integrity.

But — checks & balances...

just as "the brain was developed
"by" hunting" the king / Pms developed
by (for) hunting...

In 1450 on, long-distance trade
brought a "rev. of rising expectations"
among knights & courts — a "culture of
war" (Jones I, 1607; Davidson,
The Africans, p. 227) — a vision
in this device for pomp & power;

hence, new need to exploit the
people, & colonies. \ enslave

"King's men," drawn from ranks of slaves —
who had no lineage obligation to clans,
who owed all to the king — who yet
were the survivors, who couldn't pass on power...

Is God Jewish?

Or was Jesus of half-Jewish,
on his mother's side?

In any case, God can be partially
defined by the choice of the Jews
as His People.

"The Christians are winning [over the Arabs]
"The race for the文明 people of
the world..."

The Bible - accepted by Christians,
Jews, Muslims - starts with

- (a) the Lord: white, male: creating
- (b) Adam: white (Jewish) male, in
God's image; and
- (c) giving Adam dominion over all
beasts & earth; along with
- (d) Eve as help-mate; ...

This - all began by de-sanctifying Nature,
and putting white men in charge, to use...

Hegel

Hegel:

— "God created the earth, and created man in his own image."

Marx: Man created the earth — as he experiences it — and he created God in his own image."

(2d part — Feuerbach.

1st part — Marx?)

"But man doesn't recognise his creations; because a ruling minority uses the notion of God to support their rule, and denies man's creation of the earth to rob the majority of the fruits of their labor."

Myth of Man Nam Rosberg +

MM/Kikuyu "failure to adapt to demands
of rapid modernization" xvi, 333

[But see 352 - Kikuyu adapted
most, "too fast"']

They adapted to "modernizing" — brave,
would not accept "neo-slavery"'

(See JK at trial; + Judge, Pres' response
to "fantastic" notion that British, "who
had abolished slavery," had really
introduced a new form of it.

[Both the flows of raw materials
to industry/cities — gold, tin, iron —
(South Africa) (Congo)
required quasi-slavery; tobacco,
sugar, cotton — actual slavery;
and early industrialization
involved enslavement (e.g. enclosure)
and slave-labor. [Was this 'necessary'?

Was liberalization of working conditions
brought about because

- unnecessary - or no longer necessary?
- counter-productive - because of need
for some education, initiative, expertise?
- forced by labor org., resistance?

slavery → wage slavery / racism
colonies → puppets / neo-colon → econ imp. ^{free trade}

inferior races

workers

poor

sick, old, children, women

criminals

animals: tame, stock, wild

plants, woods

environment: obstacles, sinks, ...

weather
(atoms)
genes
thoughts

by funds
MM worked — but at great
human cost over 10 years (and
judges, African splits, for future);

Was program + abt of violence
necessary? Could NVR — also using
oaths — not have worked, also?

Comm-led movement in SUN has
not yet worked — over 30 years!

(cf. Phil) — Because against US? Too rich?

— Because of Comm intent — and

link with US domestic politics?

(Could Budd have succeeded, in 63-64?

— Too rural? Not enough urban

org?

— Mistake? Attacks on US in 1964-65?

— Violence?

— Betrayals by China/SU? 54, 72?

Hyp: Europeans treated non-European world the way the Americans treated Indians + Negroes — in about the same period (1600-1850).

[Problem: the creation of "workers"/proles/
"Class III"/slaves...

Breakdown of alternatives to wage slavery

Mass: 11, 21, 45, 61

- alienation of land; over-pop, crowding; taxation;

Devil of cash crops; "compulsions"; Education —
new values & habits; new economy, unbridled —
"channelling" — (draft); "canal & strike"

"Poe": 14-15

Argument of "efficiency" and "production/wealth" ignoring "distribution" [and the power relationships — and their partial basis in ownership + prod. process role — as well as "distribution"]

"Efficiency"

Arguments on "Pareto optimum" (note P's relation to fascism!) ignore not only "distribution" — this is an explicit omission —

but: — the power relationships

- including the influence of wealth (prior dist.) on these
- the process of prod. or exchange, & roles with this; and effects on power.
- the effect of "new prod., wealth" on these

This — when there is a great power imbalance between parties: (a) most of benefit of new prod. + exchange will go to stronger; (b) this may increase power imbalance; (c) affecting future splits in same direction.

Note comments (Mike Skinner, Martin -
/ on "corruption" by those officials
(esp. VP - & Mrs. JK...)

But colonial Khas was nothing but
a system of corruption, looting
Kenya by and for a privileged
& armed gang...

MM, 65

equal rights for all
civilized men" (with
qualification to be set & administered
by one sub-group) Chubbill

responsible, nature; Western; ..

Local imp. agents ("clips"):

80 -

42

There do acquire some
authority; owe all to imperialism;
and are class who will support
imp. rule.

81

original "authority" - if any - may have
rested among natives upon supposed
influence on white men / colonialists;
based on some transaction with the
(see Chany on Bao Dai's abdication
to Ho Chi Minh, on relation to OSS)

Relation of

rooms

(weeks)

dinner: waiters

\approx

tourists / hotels
life servants
 \approx settlers / natives
servants

\approx

/ slaves

low/high
C.R.

good
things users
children

Biblical

God / people

Pres / staff

Key / sent

Barber

Barman

Existent etc.

masses

Pres.

(sanctions
reversibility

8/M

Ecology / environment / entry / exit
effects of distant environment / complexity of
recent history / complex species

Dec 73

Domestic
Analogy to Imperialism (see Roskin?)

Addiction to, dependence on, certain external
"needs" is encouraged by "the powers that be -
elites" who need the efforts of the masses -
lowly-compensated - to maintain their own high
status and material benefits and power; because
only thus can those efforts be attained and
assured, ^{the masses} manipulable, vulnerable - Harnessed
to "work" by these desires....

(see Ornstein, p. 209, on yoga remuneration)

(see Vann - Harnassing the Revolution....)

Attitude of EGL and Vann to "opposition"
is like attitude of Plumbins to domestic
political opponents: They do it (lie,
cheat, steal; promise falsely...) so why
shouldn't we?

|| "Econ system" creates dependencies, as well as "satisfying
needs" - it shapes intensity of need, and cuts off alternative
(~~resources~~) sources of satisfaction [monopoly, as the
reality concealed by econ. ideology] (see Con State)

Hyp: Highest pleasure - ecstasy, bliss, transcendence -

is achieved alternatively by (1) certain need-
satisfactions (organism, "success", good meal following hunger...) ^{min/power; domination; safety}

(2) certain processes, & aftereffects ^{activities} (love-making, music,

dance; sports; rock-climbing; samba, skiing; ...creating... "crises"
driving - UN...

(3) awareness, "total" know, now: enlightenment
of "reality"

Dec 73

Nairobi

Opinion

Econ system works not (only; or, so much) by "creating alternatives" (new forms of satisfaction of old needs)

but by destroying alternatives (which are not under the control of those "providing new alternatives") and by creating ~~new~~ new needs (which can be satisfied only, or, best by far, by means controlled by elite) or intensifying needs (to get more compliant, assured responses, and/or making other sources of satisfaction, not controlled by elite, insufficient).

Compare to the creation of addiction, by powder (and men behind the powder - who is himself addicted & controlled).

or, CIA's aggravation of blackmail information on agent (FBI: on Congressmen).

SOMA. ("Bright city lights"...

(Destruction of handicrafts; enclosure; destruction of family, church, ties; breach obligation of nobles; export trade; need for war... - WAR